Communication Subspaces for Local Field Potential Defined Network States Ziyi Guo¹², Ryan A. Young², and Shantanu P. Jadhav¹²³ BRANDEIS BRANDEIS BRANDEIS Brandeis

¹Department of Psychology, ²Program in Neuroscience, ³The Volen National Center for Complex Systems, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA

Introduction

- The hippocampus (HPC) and prefrontal cortex (PFC) work in an integrated fashion to support cognition and memory-guided behavior (e.g., Zielinski *et al.*, 2020).
- The rhythmic fluctuations in the local field potentials of HPC and PFC have been shown to reveal broad behavioral states (e.g., movement) and periods of inter- and intra-regional communication.
- Do covarying HPC-PFC cellular ensembles differ during these oscillatory patterns? Do network patterns increase or decrease the directions of influence within an ensemble interaction space?

Methods

- 5 animals, 32-64 tetrode HPC (CA1)-PFC recordings
- Firing rate matched cellular partitions were created for source and target brain areas
- High and low activity periods of cell firing were extracted for theta (6-12Hz), delta (0.5-4Hz), and shape-wave ripples (150-200Hz)
- Spiking activities separately subjected to reduced-rank regression (Semedo *et al*. 2019):



High vs. low dimensional interactions Left: target activities span the whole source activity space. Right: target activities span a subspace of the source activities. Dimensions outside such subspace is private to the target region.



Example of a target neuron whose activity increases along regression dimension Dimensions of spiking interactions differ by network patterns





Neuronal interaction strength is stronger during rhythmic network patterns, both within and between regions; Prediction performance can be captured with a few dimensions. Top: variance explained through ordinary least square prediction matrices within(blue) and among(red) regions with significant differences (all p's < 0.001), dashed lines showing the medians. Middle: pairwise correlation of cell firing during oscillation activities of different strengths. Bottom: normalized performance as predictive dimensions increase, dashed lines showing the optimal dimensions.

Predictive dimensions differentially modulated for high/low network pattern activity during inter- and intranetwork interactions.

Top left: sum of %dimensions spanned in the target space during different patterns. ANOVA showed significant difference among the patterns and Wilcoxon paired t-tests showed significant difference among different regional interactions (all p's < 0.001). Top middle and right: sum of %dimensions spanned in the target space during periods of high vs. low activities. Bottom: lower dimensional interactions between brain regions as compared with within brain regions.

Scan for addenda and updates about the project!

Results (cont')

Training Grant 5R90DA033463-09. It was also supported by NIH Grant R01 MH112661. Many thanks to members of the Jadhav Lab and friends who helped and supported me in this project.